UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,345	07/14/2006	Johannes Reinmuller	WEICKM-0061	2694
23599 7590 10/28/2010 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.		EXAMINER		
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			GOON, SCARLETT Y	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/586,345	REINMULLER E	T AL.
Examiner induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SCARLETT GOON	1623	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pen</u>	<u>ding</u>	
(1) <u>SCARLETT GOON</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. Csaba Henter, Applicants' Atty.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>15 October 2010</u>	Time: <u>5:12 pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: see below			
Prior art documents discussed: see below			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/SCARLETT GOON/			
Examiner, Art Unit 1623 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Henter was contacted to discuss potential allowable subject matter. The Examiner indicated that the claims would be allowable if Applicants amended the claims to limit the scope of inflammatory skin or mucous membrane diseases to only those related to warts, specifically, those recited in the claims as a viral skin disease which leads to wart formation, verruca vulgaris, and Condylomata accuminata. The Examiner further indicated that the withdrawn claims woulld also be rejoined and allowable if they depended from the allowed subject matter. Mr. Henter indicated he would contact Applicants and discuss the proposed amendments with them.